

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,873	10/31/2003	Jurgen Lumera	87400.1542	8996
75	12/23/2005		EXAM	INER
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			FABER, DAVID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Defice Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner		10/697,873	LUMERA ET AL.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Betations of time may be available under the provided and 37 cFt 1.136(i). Into event, however, may a reply be timely filed in the provided and an advantage of the provided will apply and will exply a selected above, the maintain statutory period will apply and will explice 31 kt (5) people for reply is a selected above, the maintain statutory period will apply and will explice 31 kt (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failute is tree by willing he set or extended period for reply will, by status, cause the application of communication, even if stimely filed, may reduce any extended period for reply will, by status, cause the period will apply and will explice 31 kt (5) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if stimely filed, may reduce any extended period for reply will, by status, cause the period will apply and will explice 31 kt (5) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failute is reply willing he set or extended period for reply will, by status, cause the period will apply and will reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by status, cause the period will apply and will reply willing set or the replication and replication is provided by the set of the communication and replication is provided and replication is provided and replication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1.16 far a ellowed. (5) ○ Claim(s) 1.16 far are allowed. (6) ○ Claim(s) 1.18 far rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) 1.18 far rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) 1.18 far rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) 1.18 far rejected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) △ accepted or	Office Action Summary		Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAYE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time rays to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). This cereit, however, may a ray by the timely filled and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). The cereit, however, may a ray by the timely filled the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). The cereit, however, may a ray by the timely filled this communication of the provision		David Faber	2178				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAYE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the provision of 3° CPR 1.18(i), no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and well expire SIX (3) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Failute to previously within the set or central depends for required period for required by will be 1 state. Can be completed to be comparable of the communication. Failute to previously will be 1 state. Can be completed by the communication of							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNCATION. Extensions to the may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In ne event, however, may a regly be timely field after 50 k(b) MONTHS from the making date of his communication. Failuble to prey which the set or extended panied for regivality of his communication. Failuble to prove which the set or extended panied for regivality by shift by the set or become DARADONED 61 U.S. C, § 130, Any regly received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any seamed aster than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any seamed aster than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any seamed aster than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any seamed aster than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any seamed aster than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any seamed aster than three months after the making date of this communication. Failuble to be observed that the making date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any seamed aster than the making date of this communication. It has a considerable to be accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are pending in the application. 4 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 7 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 7 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 1 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 2 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 1 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 1 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 2 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 2 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 1 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 2 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 2 ∑ Claim(s) 1.18 is/are rejected. 2 ∑ Claim(s) 1.	• •						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3] ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ccepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patient Application (PTO-152)	,	· —					
Al) □ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1439 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	·					
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
7) Claim(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Intorwation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r election requirement.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			to by the Eveniner				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	···						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper Nois)/Maii Date 29 July 2004.							

Application/Control Number: 10/697,873 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the application filed 31 October 2003.
 This action is made Non-Final.

2. Claims 1-18 are pending. Claims 1, 14, and 17 independent claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 29 July 2004. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

4. The drawings filed on 31 October 2003 are accepted.

Claim Objections

5. Applicant is advised that should claims 3, 5, and 7 be found allowable, claims 8, 9, and 10 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,873 Page 3

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims appear to be claiming "software systems", which is computer program per se. Since the computer program is not embodied on a tangible computer readable medium, they appear non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bowman-Amuah (US Patent #6,434,568, filed 8/31/1999)

As per independent Claim 1, Bowman-Amuah discloses a system comprising:

- a workflow component (FIG 12)
- a data repository component (FIG 14)
- a media manager component (FIG 11, 13: Discloses a window system that is able to present a web browser, graphics, and forms of multimedia.)

Art Unit: 2178

an application server component wherein said application server component is connected to said data repository component, said media manager component and said workflow component. (FIG 12 discloses application services. Since FIG 12 is the server component of FIG 10, which discloses a client-server system, the application services in FIG 12 is an application server component. The workflow and data repository components are connected to the server, thus connected to the application services internally. In addition, Bowman-Amuah discloses an infrastructure for building components so they can communicate within an application and across applications on the same machine or multiple machines to work together. (FIG 27; Column 106, line 54 – 67) Also, Bowman-Amuah discloses the Component Framework of the System's Environment (FIG 10; FIG 27; Column 106, line 54 – 67) is based on CORBA, which is an interface for connecting all components to communicate within a system. (Column 106, lines 54-67) In conjunction with window system, CORBA allows the window system to communicate with the application server component.)

As per dependent Claim 2, Bowman-Amuah discloses a system comprising:

a data repository adapter, and (FIG 14 discloses Database Services that
include Database Access Services which enable applications to retrieve data
from a database as well as manipulate data in a database (Column 52, lines
45-48). This disclosure is a form of an adapter within the Database Services)

Art Unit: 2178

 a data repository interface, wherein said repository interface is connected to said data repository adapter and said application server component. (Column 52, lines 58-63: Discloses to achieve database access requires a Standards Based SQL API. Using this API with the Database Access Services, the user is able to access the Database Storage)

As per dependent Claims 3 and 8, Claims 3 and 8 recite similar limitations claimed in Claim 2 and are rejected under rationale. Furthermore, Bowman-Amuah discloses:

- one or more data repositories; (FIG 14, 1414)
- a data repository adapter, wherein said data repository adapter is connected
 to said one or more data repositories; and (FIG 14; Column 52, lines 45-48:
 since Access Services is able to access the repository, its connected to the
 repository)

As per dependent Claim 4, Bowman-Amuah discloses a system further comprising:

a program adapter, and a media manager interface, wherein said media
manager interface is connected to said program adapter and said application
server component. (FIG 10; Column 35, lines 21-38 – Discloses that
Presentation Services enable an application to manage the human-computer
interface listing products to enable the component such as Windows OS that

Art Unit: 2178

contains an interface. The Presentation Services include an Window System (e.g. Window OS) that is connected to a Desktop Manager, a Web browser, etc. (FIG 13) The Web browser allows users to view and interact with applications and documents that contain data such as text, graphics and audio. (Column 39, 53-60) Since, the web browser is connected to the Window System (e.g. Windows OS) and able to display a form of media, it is considered a form a media manager interface. Since an OS is a program consisting of multiple programs, it in inherent that Presentation Services, and Web Browser Services disclose a form of program adapters since the services disclose a link to the Window System enabling the programs' functionality, thus connected to the programs. In addition, Bowman-Amuah discloses a Component Framework of the System's Environment (FIG 10; FIG 27; Column 106, line 54 – 67), which is based on CORBA, which is an interface for connecting all components to communicate within a system. In conjunction with Window System, CORBA allows the Window System to communicate with the application server component.)

As per dependent Claims 5 and 9, Claims 5 and 9 recite similar limitations claimed in Claim 4 and are rejected under rationale. Furthermore, Bowman-Amuah discloses a system comprising:

 one or more programs; (Column 109, 32-42: Lists a number of operating systems the system may use.)

Art Unit: 2178

As per dependent Claim 6, Bowman-Amuah discloses a system comprising:

a workflow engine adapter; and a workflow interface, wherein said workflow interface is connected to said workflow engine adapter and said application server component. (Bowman-Amuah discloses the Component Framework of the System's Environment (FIG 10; FIG 27; Column 106, line 54 – 67) is based on CORBA, which is the interface for connecting all components to communicate within a system. Thus, since the workflow component is connected to the Base Services component and the System's Environment on the server (FIG 10), its inherent that since Bowman-Amuah's system is using the CORBA interface, an adapter is presented for the Workflow Services within the Base Services allowing the workflow engines to able to communicate with the application server component.)

As per dependent Claims 7 and 10, Claims 7 and 10 recite similar limitations claimed in Claim 6 and are rejected under rationale. Furthermore, Bowman-Amuah discloses a system comprising:

one or more workflow engines (FIG 12; Column 120, line 12 – Column 122,
 19)

As per dependent Claim 11, Bowman-Amuah discloses a system comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/697,873 Page 8

Art Unit: 2178

a publishing component, wherein said publishing component is connected to said application server component. (FIG 19; Column 71, lines 49-54. This publishing component is apart of Messaging component of the communications component on the server. With the use of the CORBA framework that allows communications with all components within a system, (FIG 10; FIG 27; Column 106, line 54 – 67) the publishing component is connected to the application server.

As per dependent Claim 12, Claim 12 recites similar limitations claimed in Claim 11 and are rejected under rationale. Bowman-Amuah discloses a system comprising:

• a publishing adapter; and a publishing interface wherein said publishing interface is connected to said publishing adapter and said application server component. (Column 71, lines 5-19: The publishing component of Bowman-Amuah, Publish and Subscribe, is apart of a Message-Orient Middleware (MOM) that is responsible for managing the interface to the underlying communications architecture via communications APIs. Thus, the MOM is considered an adapter for the publishing component. In addition, the MOM is consider is an interface since it has the following capabilities: transferring data to the proper data, passing results information and status to the application, etc.)

Art Unit: 2178

As per dependent Claim 13, Claim 13 recites similar limitations claimed in Claim 12 and are rejected under rationale. Bowman-Amuah discloses a system comprising:

one or more publishing applications (Column 72, lines 6-9)

As per independent Claim 14, Bowman-Amuah discloses a system comprising:

- a data repository component (FIG 14) having a data repository adapter (FIG 14 discloses Database Services that include Database Access Services which enable applications to retrieve data from a database as well as manipulate data in a database (Column 52, lines 45-48). This disclosure is a form of an adapter within the Database Services) connected to a data repository interface; (Column 52, lines 58-63: Discloses to achieve database access requires a Standards Based SQL API. Using this API with the Database Access Services, the user is able to access the Database Storage)
- a media manager component (FIG 11, 13: Discloses a window system that is able to present a web browser, graphics, and forms of multimedia.) having a program adapter connected to an media manager interface; (FIG 10; Column 35, lines 21-38 – Discloses that Presentation Services enable an application to manage the human-computer interface listing products to enable the component such as Windows OS that contains an interface. The Presentation Services include a Window System (e.g. Window OS) that is connected to a Desktop Manager, a Web browser, etc. (FIG 13) The Web

Art Unit: 2178

browser allows users to view and interact with applications and documents that contain data such as text, graphics and audio. (Column 39, 53-60) Since, the web browser is connected to the Window System (e.g. Windows OS) and able to display a form of media, it is considered a form a media manager interface. Since an OS is a program consisting of multiple programs, it in inherent that Presentation Services, and Web Browser Services disclose a form of program adapters since the services disclose a link to the Window System enabling the programs' functionality, thus connected to the programs. In addition, Bowman-Amuah discloses a Component Framework of the System's Environment (FIG 10; FIG 27; Column 106, line 54 – 67) which is based on CORBA, which is an interface for connecting all components to communicate within a system. In conjunction with Window System, CORBA allows the Window System to communicate with the application server component.)

a workflow component (FIG 12) having a workflow engine adapter connected to a workflow interface; and (Bowman-Amuah discloses Component Framework of the System's Environment (FIG 10; FIG 27; Column 106, line 54 – 67) is based on CORBA is the interface for connecting all components to communicate within a system. Thus, since the workflow component is connected to the Base Services component and the System's Environment on the server (FIG 10), its inherent that since Bowman-Amuah's system is

Art Unit: 2178

using the CORBA interface, an adapter is presented for the Workflow Services within the Base Services to be able to communicate with the components.)

an application server component wherein said application server component is connected to said data repository component, said media manager component and said workflow component. (FIG 12 discloses application services. Since FIG 12 is the server component of FIG 10, which discloses a client-server system, the application services in FIG 12 is an application server component. The workflow and data repository components are connected to the server, thus connected to the application services internally. In addition, Bowman-Amuah discloses an infrastructure for building components so they can communicate within an application and across applications on the same machine or multiple machines to work together. (FIG 27; Column 106, line 54 – 67) Also, Bowman-Amuah discloses the Component Framework of the System's Environment (FIG 10; FIG 27; Column 106, line 54 – 67) is based on CORBA, which is an interface for connecting all components to communicate within a system. (Column 106, lines 54-67) In conjunction with window system, CORBA allows the window system to communicate with the application server component.)

Art Unit: 2178

As per dependent Claim 15, Claim 15 recites a system for performing the system of Claim 11. Therefore, Claim 15 is similarly rejected under Bowman-Amuah.

As per dependent Claim 16, Claim 16 recites a system for performing the system of Claim 12. Therefore, Claim 16 is similarly rejected under Bowman-Amuah.

As per independent Claim 17, Claim 17 recites a system performing similar limitations of the combinations of Claims 3, 5, and 7. Therefore, Claim 17 is similarly rejected under Bowman-Amuah.

As per dependent Claim 18, Claim 18 recites a system for performing the system of Claim 13. Therefore, Claim 18 is similarly rejected under Bowman-Amuah.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Gill et al (US Patent #6,005,560): Discloses a multimedia project
 management and control system consisting a data controller component.
 - Sargent (US Patent #6,874,010): Discloses base service architectures for Netcentric computing systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Faber whose telephone number is 571-272-2751. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8am to 430pm.

Art Unit: 2178

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong, can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Faber Patent Examiner Art Unit 2178

> STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER